

Assessment checklist and jury working records

72 common controls | 45 category criteria | 9 category modules

Use common controls for the season and entry, then complete all five criteria in the selected category. Copy the relevant scoring pages for each independent juror and retain the separate consolidated record. This PDF does not automatically calculate totals.

SEASON / GOVERNING STANDARD EDITION / SEASON NOTICE VERSION

ENTRY REFERENCE / RECIPIENT / EXACT ACHIEVEMENT

PRIMARY CATEGORY / MATURITY / SCOPE AND PERIODS

ASSESSMENT ROUND / ASSESSOR NAME / ROLE / DATE

FROZEN EVIDENCE DOSSIER VERSION / MANIFEST REFERENCE

SEASON OPERATOR ASSESSMENT REFERENCE / INDEPENDENT APPROVAL

CONFLICTS CHECKED / RECUSAL REGISTER / ASSIGNMENT APPROVAL

Applicability, result codes and closure

C = conforming; NC = nonconforming; P = pending verification; NA = not applicable. A blank or Select result is incomplete. Cite evidence for C and a justified, independently approved scope reason for NA. Every weighted criterion in the selected category is mandatory.

ENTRY AND OPERATOR BOUNDARIES; COMMON CONTROLS COVERED BY THE SEASON ASSESSMENT

CONDITIONAL COMMON CONTROLS PROPOSED AS NA AND THE EXACT ABSENCE OF THEIR TRIGGER

INDEPENDENT NA APPROVAL / NAME / DATE / EVIDENCE

CRITICAL FINDINGS, SCOPE AFFECTED, IMMEDIATE HOLD AND RESPONSIBLE OWNER

ALL APPLICABLE NC/P CLOSURE REFERENCES BEFORE RECOGNIZED OUTCOMES

Operator defects require a programme or cohort remedy. Do not deduct them from entrant merit scores. Critical controls cannot be waived by commercial approval; missing evidence is never NA.

Assessment instructions and score gates

1. Establish the case

Confirm the approved season, authority, category, maturity, scope, dossier and conflict-free assignment. Assess operator controls once per season and reference their independent approval for each case.

2. Complete common controls

Record C/NC/P/NA with evidence and a finding reference. Critical failures require a hold. All applicable NC and P findings must close before recognized-finalist or winner confirmation.

3. Assess one category

Complete only the selected category module; all five criteria apply. First assign E0-E4, then the performance rating. Caps are E0:0, E1:1, E2:3, E3:4, E4:5. Retain juror identity, round and reasons.

4. Keep ratings independent

Three preliminary jurors; the same five jurors for every finalist in the category. Retain first and revised scores. Moderate a criterion spread ≥ 3 or individual-total spread > 15 without forcing consensus.

5. Calculate and apply thresholds

$S = \text{SUM}(\text{weight} \times \text{criterion median} / 5)$. Shortlist: $S \geq 70$ and each median ≥ 2 . Finalist: final $S \geq 75$, each median ≥ 3 , all criteria E2+, all controls closed. Winner-eligible: $S \geq 85$ plus E3+ on first tie-priority criterion and at least one other.

6. Resolve and confirm

Rank within category. Totals within 0.5 use mean ratings on tie-priority criteria in order. If equal, three new jurors rescore the tied entries; unresolved ties mean no single winner. Independently confirm, notify privately and allow 10 business days for appeal.

Requirement map and source references

Click a section to open its first checklist page. Source-page references on each card point to the accompanying First Edition Standard.

SECTION / SELECTED CATEGORY	CHECKLIST	STANDARD
01 Purpose, authority and award boundaries	5	5
02 Season control and transparent administration	6	6
03 Eligibility and defined achievement	7	7
04 Admission integrity and material concerns	9	8
05 Independent governance and competence	10	9
06 Conflicts, gifts and influence controls	11	10
07 Submission, configuration and change control	13	11
08 Measurement and evidence integrity	14	12
09 Technology, safety and future readiness	15	12
10 Environmental and human responsibility	17	13
11 Jury preparation and independent review	18	14
12 Scoring, thresholds and comparison	19	15
13 Verification and finalist assessment	21	16
14 Confirmation and controlled results	22	16
15 Appeals, complaints and due process	23	17
16 Award identity, marks and communication	25	18
17 Confidentiality, records and service resilience	26	19
18 Post-award assurance and programme improvement	27	20
IT Innovation & Technology Award	29	22
DE Design Excellence Award	31	24
SG Sustainability & Green Mobility Award	33	26
QS Quality & Safety Leadership Award	35	28
FM Future Mobility Award	37	30
SE Supplier Excellence Award	39	32
CR Corporate Social Responsibility Award	41	34
SU Start-up & Entrepreneurship Award	43	36
LA Lifetime Achievement Award	45	38

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-01.01 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 5

Authorized programme

IAF shall appoint a programme owner and record the authority, edition, effective date and season to which these rules apply. The programme shall not open until the governing approval, operating resources and independent decision and appeal functions are in place. Adoption shall be recorded, never inferred from a document cover.

Evidence: Adoption resolution; appointments; funded operating plan; publication approval.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-01.02 | BOTH | CRITICAL

Standard p. 6

Recognition boundary

Every entry and published award shall identify the recipient, achievement, primary category, season and assessed scope. An award recognizes a bounded achievement; it shall not imply unrestricted product approval, homologation, certification, guaranteed safety or continuing endorsement of an entire organization.

Evidence: Entry scope; decision wording; award record; communication review.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-01.03 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 6

Open and equitable access

Members and non-members shall have equal access and identical merit rules. Nationality, organization size, revenue, brand prominence, sponsorship and attendance shall not earn points. Reasonable language, accessibility and evidence-access arrangements shall be offered without changing the criteria or weakening safety and integrity gates.

Evidence: Published eligibility; accessibility arrangements; neutral allocation and scoring record.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-01.04 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 6

Document hierarchy

The Standard, including its category schedules and annexes, shall govern. The approved Season Notice shall specify dates, authorized channels and administrative arrangements within it. The Manual shall implement it; the Guide, Application and Checklist shall not alter it. Conflicts shall be corrected before launch or resolved in favor of the Standard with an equal remedy to affected entrants.

Evidence: Controlled master list; release comparison; conflict and correction record.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-02.01 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 6

Single season notice

Before accepting entries, IAF shall publish one Season Notice identifying the year, opening and closing timestamps in UTC, evidence cutoff, review dates, decision notification, appeal window and announcement date. A season is an annual competition, not an assumed calendar-year evidence period. Conflicting website dates shall be corrected before launch.

Evidence: Approved notice; publication snapshot; reconciled website and form versions.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-02.02 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 6

Stable criteria

Category scope, weights, thresholds, tie rules and eligibility shall be frozen before opening. Material rule changes after opening shall not disadvantage existing entrants. If a defect cannot be corrected neutrally, the affected category shall pause and reopen with reasonable notice and an opportunity to amend or withdraw. No rule shall be changed to favor an identifiable entry.

Evidence: Frozen rubric; change log; impact assessment; entrant notices.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-02.03 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 7

Administrative fairness

The Secretariat shall acknowledge a submission within three business days and normally allow one common five-business-day correction window for administrative omissions. It shall distinguish missing files from missing substantive achievements. Comparable cases shall receive comparable opportunities, and all exceptions shall carry a reason and an independent approval.

Evidence: Receipt and deadline log; completeness notices; exception decisions.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-02.04 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 7

Commercial separation

Payment, membership, sponsorship, media purchases and event attendance shall be separated from selection. Submission shall not create an automatic charge. Any necessary verification cost shall be explained and separately accepted before work begins, with reasonable alternatives considered. Commercial staff and sponsors shall have no access to confidential scores or power to select recipients.

Evidence: Access roles; commercial separation record; separate cost authorization; sponsor terms.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-03.01 | ENTRY | CRITICAL

Standard p. 7

Authorized applicant

The submitting person shall have authority to represent the recipient and all jointly named contributors. An individual lifetime entry shall include the nominee's explicit consent. Unsolicited third-party nominations are not accepted. Joint entries shall identify one responsible contact, agreed attribution and permission to disclose each partner's evidence.

Evidence: Signed authority; contributor consents; nominee consent where applicable.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-03.02 | ENTRY

Standard p. 7

One primary category

Each achievement shall be entered in one primary category. Separate achievements from the same organization may be entered separately if boundaries, outcomes and evidence attribution are distinct. The Secretariat may offer a documented category transfer before scoring, subject to consent; it shall not duplicate an entry across categories or create an unannounced award.

Evidence: Category selection; duplicate review; achievement boundaries; transfer consent.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-03.03 | ENTRY | CRITICAL

Standard p. 7

Maturity and eligibility period

The entry shall meet the category-specific maturity and reporting-period rules. It shall state concept, prototype, pilot or deployed status, the actual version and the operating contexts. A demonstrated result shall not be represented as a forecast, or a forecast as a result. A concept-only entry cannot receive an award under this edition.

Evidence: Milestone dates; configuration; operating history; category eligibility evidence.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-03.04 | ENTRY

Standard p. 8

Scope completeness

Define the product, site, programme, service, team or individual assessed; the geography and users; the baseline and result periods; and all material exclusions. Explain the automotive connection of adjacent technologies. A narrow successful sample shall not be presented as evidence for unassessed products, markets or an entire corporate group.

Evidence: Scope map; versions and sites; exclusions; representative population description.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-04.01 | ENTRY | CRITICAL

Standard p. 8

Truthful and lawful participation

The applicant shall confirm rights to submit and lawful operation of the claimed activity. Material safety, environmental, labor, privacy, fraud and ownership concerns relevant to the entry shall be disclosed with status and remedy. Private evidence may support these disclosures; public allegations alone shall not be treated as established findings.

Evidence: Declaration; permissions; concern register; current response and remedy evidence.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-04.02 | BOTH | CRITICAL

Standard p. 8

No material unresolved harm

An unresolved condition credibly indicating serious ongoing harm, deliberate deception or material illegality in the assessed scope shall place the entry on hold. A competent independent reviewer shall assess relevance and evidence, seek the applicant's response and record whether admission can proceed. A high score cannot override an unresolved integrity gate.

Evidence: Risk triage; response; independent finding; recorded hold or release decision.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-04.03 | ENTRY | CRITICAL

Standard p. 8

Honest attribution

Claims shall distinguish the entrant's contribution from joint work, purchased technology, earlier work and third-party delivery. Disclose related-party transactions, financial support and evidence produced by interested parties. Fabricated references, manipulated data, plagiarism and covert influence shall lead to investigation and may lead to exclusion or withdrawal of an award.

Evidence: Contribution map; rights and funding record; original evidence; reference checks.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-04.04 | BOTH

Standard p. 8

Equal treatment of adverse history

A past incident, recall or failed trial shall be assessed in context, including transparency, causal learning and effectiveness of remedy. Concealment is a separate concern. Admission or exclusion shall rest on relevant verified facts, current residual risk and consistent rules, with reasons and an opportunity to respond.

Evidence: Incident chronology; corrective evidence; consistent-case comparison; reasoned decision.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-05.01 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 9

Separated functions

The programme shall separate administration, merit scoring, verification, award confirmation and appeals. A person shall not confirm or hear an appeal of their own assessment. A three-person Decision Committee and a separate three-person Appeals Panel shall be appointed with competence and independence records. Sponsorship and fundraising shall remain outside these functions.

Evidence: Role and access matrix; appointments; declarations; decision and appeal rosters.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-05.02 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 9

Competent multidisciplinary panels

Each category shall combine relevant technical or domain expertise with user, operational and responsible-practice judgment. Appointments shall consider geographic and professional diversity without quotas determining results. Jurors shall demonstrate competence in evidence review, numerical interpretation, uncertainty, confidentiality and the category's specific risks.

Evidence: Competence matrix; experience review; training and calibration records.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-05.03 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 9

Review quorum

Three independent jurors shall score each eligible entry in preliminary review. Finalists in a category shall be assessed by the same five unconflicted final jurors, each scoring all five criteria. If a conflict or withdrawal breaks this common panel, replace the affected juror and rescore the complete finalist cohort before comparison.

Evidence: Assignment roster; conflict checks; completed score sheets; replacement history.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-05.04 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 9

Decision authority limits

The Decision Committee shall verify compliance and confirm the computed result with all three members present and at least two agreeing. It may return a flawed process for correction or withhold an award on a documented gate failure; it shall not substitute a preferred candidate or alter valid merit scores. The chair has no casting vote over merit.

Evidence: Independent review; quorum; computation; confirmation or remand reasons.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-06.01 | BOTH | CRITICAL

Standard p. 10

Conflict disclosure

Jurors, verifiers, decision makers and appeal members shall disclose employment, consultancy, ownership, investment, close personal ties, litigation, material competition and recent collaboration involving entrants. The lookback shall be three years, with current and foreseeable interests also covered. Indirect interests and parent or subsidiary relationships shall be considered.

Evidence: Signed disclosure; organization relationships; ongoing updates; independent screening.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-06.02 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 10

Recusal and restricted access

A conflicted person shall have no access to the affected entry, discussion, scoring or decision. A material competitive conflict may require recusal from the whole category. The impartiality officer shall record scope, substitute and access removal. The applicant and conflicted person shall not decide whether the conflict is acceptable.

Evidence: Recusal decision; access removal; substitute appointment; unaffected quorum.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-06.03 | BOTH | CRITICAL

Standard p. 10

No inducements or private lobbying

Entrants and programme personnel shall not offer or accept gifts, contingent payments, private hospitality or favors intended to influence the award. Routine evaluation travel shall be arranged through the Secretariat under documented terms. All case-specific communications shall use the controlled channel and be visible to the responsible review function.

Evidence: Conduct commitments; gift and contact log; travel arrangements; influence reports.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-06.04 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 10

Protected reporting

A confidential route shall accept concerns about manipulation, retaliation or bias from entrants, workers and jurors. Reports may be anonymous where feasible. Information shall be restricted to those investigating it; identity disclosure shall be justified and lawful. Good-faith reporting shall not reduce merit scores or trigger retaliation.

Evidence: Reporting route; triage log; access restrictions; non-retaliation review.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-07.01 | ENTRY

Standard p. 11

Complete evidence pack

Submit the common application sections, one selected category module, a claim-to-evidence index, relevant measurement records and the signed declaration. Public and confidential material shall be clearly separated. An authorized concise English summary shall accompany evidence in another language; significant translation uncertainty shall be resolved before scoring.

Evidence: Application; selected module; evidence index; translations; declarations.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-07.02 | BOTH | CRITICAL

Standard p. 11

Frozen assessment baseline

At the published cutoff, the Secretariat shall freeze a dated entry manifest containing file names, versions, content fingerprints and scope. Assessors shall use this baseline plus logged authorized clarifications. A later change to product, software, service, claim or evidence shall be identified and assessed for comparability before use.

Evidence: Baseline manifest; timestamps; change requests; assessor acknowledgments.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-07.03 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 11

Controlled clarification

Questions shall identify the claim and evidence gap, a common response deadline and whether only explanation or existing records may be supplied. Clarification may authenticate pre-cutoff achievements; it shall not introduce a newly achieved result after the cutoff. Material questions and answers shall be shared with every assigned assessor.

Evidence: Question log; response dates; evidence provenance; equal-treatment record.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-07.04 | ENTRY

Standard p. 11

Withdrawal and material update

Applicants may withdraw through the authorized channel. They shall promptly report material safety, integrity, scope or ownership changes discovered after submission and before publication, and later when they affect a granted award. The Secretariat shall retain the decision trail and revise the case state rather than erase the history.

Evidence: Withdrawal request; update notices; state history; retention decision.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-08.01 | ENTRY | CRITICAL

Standard p. 12

Traceable claims

Every material claim shall have a unique identifier, a defined unit or qualitative outcome, an accountable source, a measurement or evaluation method, a baseline and result period, and linked evidence. State boundaries, sample selection, excluded observations, uncertainty and verification status. Dashboards and executive statements alone do not establish traceability.

Evidence: Claim register; source records; method; sample and exclusion log; verification notes.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-08.02 | ENTRY

Standard p. 12

Comparable outcomes

Use functionally comparable baselines and disclose changes in volume, mix, exposure, location and operating conditions. Present absolute and normalized outcomes where each is material. Quantitative improvement shall state the calculation; qualitative change shall use a transparent coding or evaluation method and corroboration. Do not imply causation from correlation alone.

Evidence: Baseline reconciliation; denominators; calculation workbook; qualitative coding; limitations.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-08.03 | BOTH | CRITICAL

Standard p. 12

Evidence strength and uncertainty

For each criterion, the verifier shall assign E0 to E4 using Annex A. The performance rating shall be capped by the evidence level: E0=0, E1=1, E2=3, E3=4 and E4=5. Missing evidence is not not-applicable. Confidentiality may change the access method, but it shall not remove the obligation to verify a material claim.

Evidence: Criterion evidence register; justified tier; uncertainty analysis; controlled-access findings.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-08.04 | ENTRY

Standard p. 12

Negative and independent evidence

Disclose unsuccessful trials, contradictory results, significant complaints and limits of representativeness. Independent corroboration shall come from a competent person or source without a material interest in the outcome; payment for a fixed verification service shall be disclosed. The panel shall test independence and methods rather than rely on a title or document badge.

Evidence: Full result set; adverse evidence; verifier independence; method review; reconciled findings.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-09.01 | ENTRY | CRITICAL

Standard p. 12

Bounded safety case

For technologies affecting safety, identify hazards, operating limits, foreseeable misuse, exposed people and credible failure responses. Link controls to relevant tests and residual-risk acceptance. A demonstration shall use safe conditions and authorized personnel. Awards assessment shall never require an unsafe road trial or uncontrolled exposure to prove an ambitious claim.

Evidence: Hazard register; operating limits; control-to-test links; safe demonstration plan.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-09.02 | ENTRY | CRITICAL

Standard p. 13

Digital and artificial-intelligence integrity

For software, connected or learning systems, identify the evaluated version, data provenance, evaluation separation, cyber threats, privacy impacts and accountable release owner. Test relevant failure, bias, drift and misuse conditions. State human supervision, override, update integrity and rollback. Generative output shall not substitute for authentic measurement records.

Evidence: Version and data record; evaluation protocol; security tests; monitoring; rollback and oversight evidence.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-09.03 | ENTRY

Standard p. 13

Lifecycle and infrastructure dependencies

Identify dependence on energy, connectivity, external software, specialist skills and critical components. Show maintenance, accessibility, service support, secure retirement and data handling at end of service. Future readiness shall mean a credible tested path and responsible support commitments, not a prediction that an unbuilt ecosystem will appear.

Evidence: Dependency map; maintenance and support plans; recovery exercises; retirement controls.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-09.04 | ENTRY

Standard p. 13

Forecast discipline

Separate measured, modeled and forecast results throughout the application and publicity. Models shall disclose assumptions, calibration, uncertainty, sensitivity and limits. Emerging technologies shall be assessed through their demonstrated maturity and bounded contribution. Potential may inform feasibility, but unachieved benefit shall not be scored as measured impact.

Evidence: Labeled result types; model record; sensitivity cases; public claim review.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-10.01 | ENTRY

Standard p. 13

Lifecycle boundaries

Material environmental claims shall identify relevant upstream, operational and end-of-life effects, functional unit and geography. Report burden shifts, output changes, purchased claims and modeled avoided effects separately. Claims shall be limited to the measured boundary; broad words such as clean, circular or zero-impact require precise qualification.

Evidence: Boundary and activity data; allocation rules; material trade-offs; qualified wording.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-10.02 | ENTRY

Standard p. 13

Accessibility and affected users

Identify intended and foreseeably affected users, including those excluded by cost, disability, language, location or digital access. Collect proportionate feedback and test relevant accessibility needs. Explain adjustments and residual exclusions. Claims of universal access shall not be inferred from a small homogeneous sample.

Evidence: User and stakeholder map; representative study; accessibility results; exclusion rationale.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-10.03 | ENTRY | CRITICAL

Standard p. 14

Worker and community safeguards

Activities within the assessed scope shall protect workers and affected communities from coercion, exploitation, retaliation and material preventable harm. Identify responsible sourcing and subcontracting risks relevant to the achievement. Assess grievances and remedies; philanthropic benefit shall not compensate for unresolved severe harm in the same scope.

Evidence: Safeguarding and sourcing review; grievance outcomes; worker or community corroboration.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-10.04 | ENTRY

Standard p. 14

Responsible transition

Describe skills, affordability, repair access and distribution of benefits and burdens as the solution expands or replaces existing activities. Involve affected parties where material. Plans shall identify owners, resources and follow-up indicators; aspirational statements without action shall not count as implemented social or environmental benefit.

Evidence: Transition plan; consultations; funded actions; follow-up outcomes; access conditions.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-11.01 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 14

Common calibration

Before live scoring, each panel shall score the same two synthetic or de-identified cases using the published rubric. Discuss evidence tiers, performance anchors, category boundaries and bias. Correct misunderstandings and retain the calibration record. No target distribution of winners or scores shall be imposed.

Evidence: Calibration cases; individual ratings; resolved interpretations; training attendance.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-11.02 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 14

Independent first scores

Jurors shall first score separately, with evidence references and criterion-specific reasons, before seeing peer scores. The system shall retain original submissions and all later changes. A presentation may clarify evidence but shall not award points for production quality, personal charisma, language fluency or access to prominent advocates.

Evidence: Time-stamped independent score sheets; rationales; presentation protocol; change history.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-11.03 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 14

Controlled moderation

Moderation shall resolve factual misunderstandings, inconsistent evidence tiers and missing information without forcing consensus. A criterion spread of three or more points, or an individual total spread greater than 15 points, shall trigger documented review. Jurors may revise their own ratings with reasons; no chair may silently overwrite another juror's score.

Evidence: Spread report; moderation minutes; clarification; individually authorized revisions.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-11.04 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 15

Complete and reproducible scoring

All five category criteria shall receive a score from every required juror. Abstentions shall be handled through recusal and replacement, never as zero or a reduced denominator. The Secretariat shall validate weights, evidence caps, completeness and roster before aggregation. Missing category criteria shall not be removed or reweighted.

Evidence: Complete score matrix; roster; cap and formula checks; independent calculation review.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-12.01 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 15

Fixed formula

For criterion k, each juror's integer rating from 0 to 5 shall not exceed its evidence cap. The panel rating is the median of the three preliminary or five final ratings. Total S equals the sum of each published weight multiplied by the panel rating divided by five. Retain unrounded values; display S to one decimal only.

Evidence: Five-criterion matrix; published weights; tier caps; median calculation; unrounded total.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-12.02 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 15

Stage thresholds

Shortlisting requires S at least 70 and every criterion median at least 2, with all admission gates passed. Recognized finalist status requires final S at least 75, every median at least 3, every criterion supported at E2 or above, and all applicable controls closed. Winner eligibility requires final S at least 85, the same minimums and E3 or above for both the first tie-priority criterion and at least one other criterion.

Evidence: Gate sheet; evidence levels; preliminary and final totals; criterion minimums.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-12.03 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 15

Fair category comparison

Rank entries only within their primary category. Consider scale, context, exposure and maturity through the published criterion descriptors, without bonus points for size or speculative scale. Awards shall not combine scores across categories into an overall ranking. If capacity limits shortlisting, publish the cap before launch and include all entries tied at its boundary.

Evidence: Within-category ranking; context notes; prepublished capacity limit; boundary-tie handling.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-12.04 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 15

Tie and no-award rule

Treat final totals within 0.5 points as tied. Compare unrounded mean juror ratings first on the category's first tie-priority criterion and then its second. If still equal, a new independent three-person panel shall rescore all tied entries from the same frozen evidence and the same formula and gates. If still tied, declare no single winner; do not use a chair vote, sponsorship or popularity to break it. No award shall be made when no entry meets the winner threshold.

Evidence: Tie calculations; priority order; fresh panel record; no-award rationale.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-13.01 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 16

Risk-based verification

Every shortlisted entry shall undergo a documented verification plan covering all material claims, with deeper checks for decisive, novel, high-risk or contradictory claims. Verify identity, version, source authenticity and material denominators. The method may combine controlled records, interviews, witnessed tests, site visits and customer or beneficiary confirmation.

Evidence: Verification plan; claim sampling; source checks; traceability; verification findings.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-13.02 | BOTH

Standard p. 16

Equivalent verification access

The Secretariat shall agree safe and proportionate access to evidence, protecting intellectual property and vulnerable participants. Equivalent remote or controlled-room methods may be used if they establish the same confidence. If a material claim cannot be verified, reduce its evidence tier or withhold the relevant outcome; do not grant an automatic exemption.

Evidence: Access agreement; alternative method justification; confidentiality controls; unresolved limitations.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-13.03 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 16

Final assessment baseline

Before final scoring, issue one common verified dossier version to all five final jurors, including limitations, adverse findings and logged responses. Confirm the final roster is unconflicted across the cohort. Final scoring shall be a fresh assessment of that dossier; preliminary scores shall not be averaged into the final total.

Evidence: Final dossier manifest; juror acknowledgment; final roster; independent score sheets.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-13.04 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 16

Correction and applicant response

Provide the applicant a factual-finding summary and five business days to correct factual errors or identify existing overlooked evidence. This is not a negotiation over scores. Record all revisions, reasons and effects on the dossier. No recognized finalist or winner shall have an unresolved material finding or pending verification item.

Evidence: Finding notice; response; closure evidence; revised dossier; status decision.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-14.01 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 16

Independent confirmation

The Decision Committee shall review eligibility, conflicts, evidence closure, complete scores, formula, thresholds, ties and exact recognition wording. It shall confirm or remand with reasons. A category winner shall be the highest-ranked winner-eligible entry after the tie procedure. A single eligible entrant may win only if every threshold is met; competition size does not lower the bar.

Evidence: Confirmation checklist; ranking and tie record; decision minutes; signed authorization.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-14.02 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 17

Reasoned notification

Applicants shall receive their outcome, criterion medians, total, evidence limitations, principal reasons and appeal route before public announcement. Individual juror identities linked to votes and competitors' confidential information shall remain restricted. A failure to win is not a finding that the applicant is unsafe or unfit outside the assessed scope.

Evidence: Private notice; score summary; reasons; appeal instructions; confidentiality check.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-14.03 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 17

Publication and no-award transparency

Publication shall identify the season, category, recipient, bounded achievement, decision date and a concise evidence-based rationale. Publish recognized finalists only after their own threshold is satisfied. A no-award result shall be stated honestly. No unannounced medal, rating, special mention or overall title shall be created after entries have been seen.

Evidence: Approved public record; threshold check; recipient identity; publication log.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-14.04 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 17

Record integrity and corrections

Assign a unique award record identifier and maintain states for confirmed, under review, suspended and withdrawn outcomes. Corrections shall retain the original decision trail, date and reason. A public verification record shall disclose current award status and assessed scope without exposing confidential evidence or unnecessary personal information.

Evidence: Award register; version and state history; correction record; public verification content.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-15.01 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 17

Accessible appeal route

An applicant may appeal within 10 business days of receiving a reasoned outcome on procedural error, conflict, material factual mistake or misapplication of these rules. Disagreement with a properly applied expert judgment alone is insufficient. An appeal shall not require payment and shall not cause retaliation. The notice shall identify the issue and available supporting evidence.

Evidence: Appeal route; notice date; admissibility grounds; receipt; non-retaliation record.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-15.02 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 17

Independent appeal determination

The separate three-person Appeals Panel shall have no earlier case role or relevant conflict. It shall acknowledge within three business days and aim to decide within 20 business days after the complete file, notifying reasons and a new date if delayed. It may uphold, correct procedure or order independent reassessment, but shall not award a prize by preference.

Evidence: Independent roster; full record; reasoned determination; remedy and deadline tracking.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-15.03 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 18

Complaints and whistleblowing

Complaints about conduct, discrimination, data handling or programme integrity may be submitted at any time. The Secretariat shall acknowledge within three business days, classify urgency and route independently. Safety or evidence-fraud reports shall trigger immediate triage. A complaint shall not automatically reopen a merit decision unless it identifies a material defect.

Evidence: Complaint and concern register; risk triage; investigator independence; corrective action.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-15.04 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 18

Interim protection and remedy

Where credible information could invalidate an announced or imminent award, the Decision Committee shall consider a proportionate hold or suspension and notify the recipient of reasons. Give 10 business days to respond unless urgent protection requires an earlier interim step. A final withdrawal shall follow evidence review, reasoned notice and an appeal opportunity.

Evidence: Interim decision; response opportunity; investigation; withdrawal or reinstatement record.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-16.01 | ENTRY | CRITICAL

Standard p. 18

Exact award claim

A recipient shall use only the approved IAF Automotive Awards identity, category, season and achievement wording. It shall not imply an organization-wide certification, continuing safety guarantee, endorsement of unrelated products or universal legal compliance. A prototype or pilot limitation shall remain visible wherever the award is promoted.

Evidence: Approved claim and artwork; representative uses; scope and maturity wording.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-16.02 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 18

Controlled mark licence

IAF shall issue a written, non-transferable permission for the authorized award identity, with recipient, scope, artwork, permitted media, change control and withdrawal conditions. No winner mark may be used before confirmation. The public season remains part of the identity; historic recognition shall not be presented as a current-year award.

Evidence: Licence record; artwork approval; issue date; recipient acknowledgment.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-16.03 | BOTH | CRITICAL

Standard p. 18

No purchased merit or endorsement drift

Award announcements shall distinguish optional sponsorship, exhibition, editorial or event arrangements from merit outcomes. Declining a commercial offer or ceremony attendance shall not reduce recognition. Co-branding shall not imply that a sponsor judged, verified or owns the award or that IAF endorses every activity of the recipient.

Evidence: Communication approval; separate agreements; sponsorship disclosure; misuse checks.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-16.04 | BOTH

Standard p. 19

Misuse correction

The programme shall monitor reported misuse and request proportionate correction. A recipient shall stop inaccurate claims promptly and confirm action within five business days of notice. Persistent or deliberate misuse may trigger suspension or withdrawal under due process. Record corrective notices and preserve an accurate public history.

Evidence: Monitoring log; correction notice; changed material; follow-up and escalation.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-17.01 | BOTH | CRITICAL

Standard p. 19

Minimum necessary data

Collect only information needed for eligibility, evaluation, integrity and contact. Separate public summaries from confidential technical, commercial and personal evidence. Avoid collecting raw identity documents, identifiable medical information or detailed beneficiary data where verified redaction or aggregation is sufficient. Record the lawful basis, notice and access permissions before processing.

Evidence: Data inventory; applicant notice; redaction; purpose and access record.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-17.02 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 19

Secure handling and human accountability

Restrict access by role, use protected transfer and storage, and log downloads and decisions. Confidential entries shall not be placed in unapproved public tools or used to train general-purpose systems. Automation may assist administration or anomaly detection only with validation and human review; it shall not autonomously select, exclude or award an entry.

Evidence: Access and tool approvals; activity logs; automation validation; human sign-off.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-17.03 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 19

Retention and defensible deletion

Retain decision, score, appeal and consent records for five years after the final season outcome, subject to a documented lawful hold or a shorter overriding duty. Delete unnecessary duplicate and raw personal data sooner. Preserve a minimal public award history while recognition remains represented. Disclose actual retention and deletion arrangements in the Season Notice.

Evidence: Retention schedule; hold register; deletion records; public-history rationale.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-17.04 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 19

Incident and continuity response

Define response to data loss, leakage, cyber incidents, disrupted submission channels and corrupted scores. Preserve evidence, restrict impact and make required notifications without delay. Restore from tested backups and independently reconcile affected submissions and calculations. A system outage shall trigger an equal, published deadline remedy rather than selective late admission.

Evidence: Incident playbook; restoration test; reconciled records; equal deadline remedy.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-18.01 | ENTRY

Standard p. 20

Material-event reporting

A recipient shall inform IAF within five business days after becoming aware of a material fact that may invalidate the award basis, with immediate contact for urgent serious harm. A later business change alone shall not erase historic achievement; relevance to the original scope, evidence and claims shall be assessed before changing award status.

Evidence: Recipient obligation; event notices; relevance assessment; status record.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

Common controls - evidence and findings

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

AWA-18.02 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 20

Risk-based follow-up

During the 12 months after publication, review reported concerns and a documented risk-based sample of award claims and public uses. Confirm the decision basis and scope remain accurately represented. Historical recognition remains dated; the programme shall not imply continuous product surveillance or a renewable certification scheme.

Evidence: Sampling plan; public claim checks; event review; corrective follow-up.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-18.03 | OPERATOR

Standard p. 20

Season review and transparency

After each season, review participation, recusal, score dispersion, verification findings, appeals, accessibility, delays and misuse. Publish aggregate outcomes and significant procedural improvements without confidential entry details. Examine whether rules unfairly favored organization size, geography or presentation quality and correct future processes with evidence.

Evidence: Annual review; aggregate report; bias and access analysis; improvement actions.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

AWA-18.04 | OPERATOR | CRITICAL

Standard p. 20

Future change and version control

Review emerging technologies, human and environmental needs and operational learning before the next season. Consult affected stakeholders on material changes and test revised criteria using synthetic cases. Publish a new controlled edition or revision before use; retain prior editions so that historic awards remain assessable against the rules that governed them.

Evidence: Consultation; pilot rubric results; revision approval; archived editions; launch readiness.

RESULT

Select

FINDING / ACTION

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

IT | Innovation & Technology Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

IT-01 | WEIGHT 30%

Standard p. 22

Originality and technical advance

Identify the specific technical barrier, the prior baseline and the entrant's contribution. Demonstrate a material advance through reproducible comparisons, including competing design approaches and unsuccessful tests. Novelty shall concern useful function rather than an unverified first-in-the-world claim.

Evidence: Architecture and version record; comparative test protocol; contribution map; ownership or licence evidence.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

IT-02 | WEIGHT 25%

Standard p. 22

Demonstrated benefit

Quantify the improvement in safety, performance, energy, cost, access or productivity against a declared baseline under comparable conditions. Report sample size, exposure, uncertainty and adverse trade-offs. A pilot result shall retain its pilot boundary; a projected fleet benefit shall not become a measured outcome.

Evidence: Raw or sampled results; baseline reconciliation; test conditions; adverse-result log.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

IT-03 | WEIGHT 20%

Standard p. 23

Engineering readiness and scale

Show integration, manufacturability, maintainability, resource constraints and a supported deployment path. Assess component availability, infrastructure dependency, repair and end-of-support obligations. A technically ambitious prototype may demonstrate disciplined readiness without claiming production reliability it has not established.

Evidence: Prototype configuration; integration tests; production or service plan; support and dependency register.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

IT | Innovation & Technology Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

IT-04 | WEIGHT 15%

Standard p. 23

Responsible technology and resilience

Demonstrate safety reasoning, misuse resistance, cybersecurity and human accountability appropriate to the technology. For learning systems identify training and evaluation boundaries, failure monitoring, override and rollback. Verify degraded operation and recovery rather than relying on an architectural diagram alone.

Evidence: Hazard and threat records; negative testing; failure recovery; accountable release approval.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

IT-05 | WEIGHT 10%

Standard p. 23

Lifecycle value and knowledge transfer

Account for materials, energy, service life and end-of-life impacts of the innovation. Explain who can adopt it, required skills, affordability and avoidable lock-in. Protect legitimate intellectual property while providing enough evidence for independent assessment and useful transfer of learning.

Evidence: Lifecycle assumptions; repair and retirement plan; skills and adoption evidence.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

CATEGORY ASSESSOR SUMMARY / ADVERSE EVIDENCE / LIMITATIONS

DE | Design Excellence Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

DE-01 | WEIGHT 25%

Standard p. 24

Design originality and coherence

Evaluate the clarity of the design intent, visual and tactile coherence, contextual relevance and purposeful differentiation. Explain how the solution serves its users and operating environment. Expensive materials, brand familiarity and spectacle shall not substitute for resolved design quality.

Evidence: Design brief; alternatives; physical or interactive demonstrator; design decision history.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

DE-02 | WEIGHT 30%

Standard p. 24

Usability, ergonomics and inclusion

Demonstrate completion of realistic user tasks across representative abilities, body sizes, ages and contexts. Include ingress, reach, visibility, comfort, cognitive load and accessible alternatives as relevant. Record recruitment, excluded users, failed tasks and assistance provided during testing.

Evidence: User study protocol; participant profile; task results; accessibility findings; remedial changes.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

DE-03 | WEIGHT 20%

Standard p. 25

Functional safety and interaction quality

Show that design choices protect attention, reduce foreseeable misuse and provide intelligible feedback in normal and degraded conditions. Assess tactile and visual differentiation, urgent actions, physical fallback and unsafe distraction. Attractive presentation cannot compensate for an unresolved safety problem.

Evidence: Interaction hazard review; critical-task tests; visibility and feedback checks; degraded-mode results.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

DE | Design Excellence Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

DE-04 | WEIGHT 15%

Standard p. 25

Material and lifecycle responsibility

Justify materials, finishes, durability, cleaning, repair and disassembly. Evaluate the effect of aging and real use on appearance, touch and function. Environmental claims shall be traceable to the actual design and supply route rather than a generic material label.

Evidence: Material inventory; durability and cleaning results; repair/disassembly study; sourcing evidence.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND**EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS****DE-05 | WEIGHT 10%**

Standard p. 25

Execution and enduring value

Assess production or implementation feasibility, consistent quality, serviceability and adaptation to diverse markets. Explain the achieved relationship between experience and total user burden, including maintenance and learning. Provide evidence of transfer from design intent to representative execution.

Evidence: Build tolerances; production samples; service evaluation; ownership or usage study.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND**EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS****CATEGORY ASSESSOR SUMMARY / ADVERSE EVIDENCE / LIMITATIONS**

SG | Sustainability & Green Mobility Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

SG-01 | WEIGHT 30%

Standard p. 26

Measured lifecycle improvement

Define the functional unit and boundaries from relevant upstream inputs to operation and end-of-life. Quantify gross burdens, reductions and uncertainty under matched conditions. Show both absolute and intensity measures where output changes. Report avoided emissions and purchased instruments separately from measured operational reductions.

Evidence: Boundary map; activity data; calculation factors and dates; reconciliation; sensitivity analysis.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

SG-02 | WEIGHT 20%

Standard p. 26

Circularity and material integrity

Demonstrate longer useful life, repair, verified reuse, remanufacturing or actual material recovery. Reconcile inputs and outputs, yields, contamination and destination. Technical recyclability shall not be presented as achieved collection or recovery. Avoid double counting between stages and participants.

Evidence: Material balance; custody records; repair outcomes; recovery destination and yields.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

SG-03 | WEIGHT 20%

Standard p. 27

Resource and ecosystem stewardship

Assess energy, water, waste, pollution and locally material ecosystem effects. Identify burden transfers and rebound from growth or lower costs. Distinguish renewable supply arrangements from physical changes in consumption and consider stress at the location and time of use.

Evidence: Metered activity; local impact review; waste destinations; pollution controls; rebound analysis.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

SG | Sustainability & Green Mobility Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

SG-04 | WEIGHT 15%

Standard p. 27

Durable and transferable implementation

Show accountable ownership, finance, maintenance, supplier participation and repeatability. Identify conditions under which benefits persist or fail. Test assumptions for expansion to different electricity mixes, transport distances, climates and utilization rates without treating projections as achieved results.

Evidence: Implementation records; recurring monitoring; deployment constraints; alternative scenario analysis.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

SG-05 | WEIGHT 15%

Standard p. 27

Fair transition and claim integrity

Demonstrate workforce preparation, responsible material sourcing, affordable access and engagement with affected communities. Record concerns and remedies. Publish balanced boundaries and limitations; a broad green claim shall not conceal a narrow improvement or unresolved material harm.

Evidence: Worker and community evidence; sourcing review; training outcomes; approved claim wording.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

CATEGORY ASSESSOR SUMMARY / ADVERSE EVIDENCE / LIMITATIONS

QS | Quality & Safety Leadership Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

QS-01 | WEIGHT 30%

Standard p. 28

Safety and quality outcomes

Demonstrate reductions in harm, defects, failures or customer burden with credible exposure denominators. Separate detection improvements from occurrence changes. Include severity, near misses and reporting coverage. Zero reported incidents is not itself proof of a safe system.

Evidence: Exposure-normalized results; failure and injury records; warranty trends; data completeness analysis.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

QS-02 | WEIGHT 25%

Standard p. 28

Prevention and validation discipline

Show hazard-based design and process prevention, representative validation, traceable acceptance criteria and controlled changes. Include boundary conditions, vulnerable users and foreseeable misuse. Explain how independent challenge identified weaknesses before release and how findings changed the design or process.

Evidence: Hazard-to-test traceability; validation results; process controls; change approvals.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

QS-03 | WEIGHT 20%

Standard p. 29

Learning and corrective effectiveness

Demonstrate prompt containment, causal investigation, verified corrective action and effective communication. Include recalls, service campaigns and lessons from suppliers and users. A recall disclosed and managed responsibly shall be assessed on response quality rather than automatically treated as a disqualification.

Evidence: Case chronology; root-cause analysis; containment records; recurrence checks; communication evidence.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

QS | Quality & Safety Leadership Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

QS-04 | WEIGHT 15%

Standard p. 29

Leadership and speak-up culture

Show executive accountability, competent resources, protected reporting and escalation independent of production pressure. Test whether adverse information reaches decision makers and affected users. Reward transparent learning and effective safeguards, not the suppression of inconvenient indicators.

Evidence: Escalation examples; protected reporting records; competence evaluation; resource decisions.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

QS-05 | WEIGHT 10%

Standard p. 29

Continuity and sector contribution

Demonstrate that improvements survive staff, supplier and technology changes. Share useful learning without exposing personal or sensitive data. Evaluate resilience, support availability and practical transfer across plants, fleets or service operations while maintaining the original evidence boundary.

Evidence: Sustained trend; transferred controls; continuity exercises; documented learning use.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

CATEGORY ASSESSOR SUMMARY / ADVERSE EVIDENCE / LIMITATIONS

FM | Future Mobility Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

FM-01 | WEIGHT 25%

Standard p. 30

Mobility and access outcomes

Measure useful trips or deliveries, reliability, accessibility, time and affordability against a relevant alternative. Include underserved users and failed requests. Growth in app registrations or vehicle count alone shall not be treated as improved mobility or public benefit.

Evidence: Trip or delivery records; access and fare analysis; baseline travel study; user outcomes.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

FM-02 | WEIGHT 20%

Standard p. 30

Interoperability and service integration

Demonstrate reliable exchange across vehicles, infrastructure, operators, payment and user channels. Define interfaces, data ownership and failure responsibilities. Evaluate switching, portability and recovery from a missing partner without forcing unsafe or exclusionary user behavior.

Evidence: Interface and end-to-end tests; partner agreements; portability and outage exercises.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

FM-03 | WEIGHT 25%

Standard p. 31

Safety, security and trustworthy operation

Define operating boundaries, human oversight, emergency intervention, secure data handling and accountability. Test loss of connectivity, incorrect data, cyber incidents and foreseeable misuse. Automated service claims shall state conditions and supervision rather than imply unrestricted autonomous capability.

Evidence: Operating-domain record; intervention tests; threat review; incident and privacy controls.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

FM | Future Mobility Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

FM-04 | WEIGHT 15%

Standard p. 31

Deployment viability and resilience

Assess infrastructure, maintenance, staffing, economics and dependency on temporary subsidies. Explain performance in climate extremes and disrupted supply. Show a realistic scale path supported by operations rather than a citywide extrapolation from a small favorable trial.

Evidence: Unit service economics; maintenance records; stress scenarios; dependency and scale plan.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

FM-05 | WEIGHT 15%

Standard p. 31

System-wide sustainability and inclusion

Assess induced travel, empty running, modal substitution, energy and use of public space. Include users without smartphones, bank accounts or high digital literacy where relevant. Explain whether benefits displace burdens onto workers, neighborhoods or other travelers.

Evidence: Network impact analysis; empty-distance data; alternative access testing; stakeholder feedback.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

CATEGORY ASSESSOR SUMMARY / ADVERSE EVIDENCE / LIMITATIONS

SE | Supplier Excellence Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

SE-01 | WEIGHT 30%

Standard p. 32

Quality and delivery reliability

Reconcile delivery and defect measures to customer records, including accepted exclusions and changes in volume or mix. Assess service responsiveness and repeated failures. A favorable single customer statement shall not obscure material poor performance elsewhere in the declared scope.

Evidence: Customer scorecards; shipment denominators; defect reconciliation; service and return records.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

SE-02 | WEIGHT 20%

Standard p. 32

Value creation and co-development

Demonstrate useful engineering or service contributions, total cost improvement and collaborative problem solving. Attribute benefits fairly between partners and show that savings did not transfer hidden risk, inventory burden or poor working conditions to another party.

Evidence: Joint development evidence; cost-to-value comparison; customer validation; contribution record.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

SE-03 | WEIGHT 20%

Standard p. 33

Resilience and change capability

Show visibility of critical sub-tiers, continuity arrangements, controlled substitutions, capacity response and recovery exercises. Assess cybersecurity and concentration dependencies for digital and physical supply. A backup source on paper is insufficient without demonstrated readiness.

Evidence: Risk map; recovery tests; approved substitutions; capacity and continuity evidence.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

SE | Supplier Excellence Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

SE-04 | WEIGHT 20%

Standard p. 33

Responsible sourcing and workforce

Demonstrate traceability proportionate to material risk, fair workforce treatment, environmental improvement and remedies for adverse findings. Examine subcontracting and temporary labor. Assurance statements alone do not replace evidence that detected issues were corrected.

Evidence: Sourcing traceability; labor review; corrective outcomes; environmental activity data.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

SE-05 | WEIGHT 10%

Standard p. 33

Transparency and shared improvement

Show accurate communication, prompt disclosure of deviations, documented learning and practical support to smaller partners. Evaluate transfer of capability and continuity of customer relationships without treating commercial size or bargaining power as evidence of excellence.

Evidence: Deviation notifications; improvement logs; training outcomes; partner feedback.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

CATEGORY ASSESSOR SUMMARY / ADVERSE EVIDENCE / LIMITATIONS

CR | Corporate Social Responsibility Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

CR-01 | WEIGHT 30%

Standard p. 34

Demonstrated social outcomes

Distinguish activities, outputs and outcomes. Establish the affected population, baseline, follow-up and plausible contribution of the programme. Include attrition, adverse outcomes and distribution of benefits; total spending or attendance is not a substitute for changed life or work conditions.

Evidence: Outcome study; baseline and follow-up; population and attrition record; beneficiary corroboration.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

CR-02 | WEIGHT 20%

Standard p. 34

Equity, access and participation

Show that affected people shaped priorities, delivery and evaluation. Identify barriers related to disability, gender, age, location, language or economic position without collecting unnecessary sensitive data. Explain who was left out and how exclusion was addressed.

Evidence: Accessible consultation; participation record; distribution analysis; adjustment evidence.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

CR-03 | WEIGHT 25%

Standard p. 35

Ethics, safeguarding and remedy

Demonstrate fair work, freedom from coercion, protection of vulnerable participants and accessible grievance routes. Separate support from marketing pressure. Record how complaints, exploitation risks and unintended harm were handled and independently challenged.

Evidence: Safeguarding plan; consent process; grievance and remedy evidence; independent review.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

CR | Corporate Social Responsibility Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

CR-04 | WEIGHT 15%

Standard p. 35

Sustained capability and partnerships

Assess funded continuity, local capability, skills transfer and meaningful partnership roles. Explain exit arrangements so that beneficiaries are not abandoned when the award cycle or sponsor funding ends. Partnership logos shall not substitute for delivered responsibilities.

Evidence: Programme commitments; competence outcomes; partner delivery record; continuity and exit plan.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

CR-05 | WEIGHT 10%

Standard p. 35

Transparency and learning

Publish balanced methods, limitations and lessons without exposing private beneficiary information. Demonstrate changes made because of feedback or disappointing results. Claims about social reach shall avoid duplicate counting and distinguish direct beneficiaries from indirect audiences.

Evidence: Public summary; counting rules; evaluation changes; de-identified feedback.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

CATEGORY ASSESSOR SUMMARY / ADVERSE EVIDENCE / LIMITATIONS

SU | Start-up & Entrepreneurship Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

SU-01 | WEIGHT 25%

Standard p. 36

Problem relevance and differentiated value

Demonstrate a genuine automotive need, a focused customer or user problem and a defensible contribution. Compare real alternatives, including doing nothing. Intellectual property, insight, execution or ecosystem position may support differentiation; novelty claims shall be evidenced.

Evidence: Problem research; alternatives; functional demonstration; contribution and rights record.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

SU-02 | WEIGHT 25%

Standard p. 36

Verified traction and customer value

Distinguish paid activity, unpaid pilots, reservations, letters of interest and recurring use. Show retention, conversion and delivered benefit with denominators and time windows. Funding, valuation, followers and unaudited forecasts shall not substitute for customer evidence.

Evidence: Pilot or sales records; de-identified user cohorts; customer confirmation; retention data.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

SU-03 | WEIGHT 20%

Standard p. 37

Viable and disciplined growth

Explain unit economics, cash needs, supply and support capacity and a realistic route to scale. Separate actual costs from future assumptions. Identify concentration, infrastructure and licensing constraints; growth shall not depend on unresolved safety or deceptive claims.

Evidence: Cost model; sensitivity cases; runway ranges; deployment capacity; constraint register.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

SU | Start-up & Entrepreneurship Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

SU-04 | WEIGHT 15%

Standard p. 37

Team and execution capability

Assess relevant competence, complementary roles, ownership of decisions and learning from failed hypotheses. Explain key-person dependencies, hiring and succession. Founder visibility or access to investors is not a proxy for an effective and accountable team.

Evidence: Delivery milestones; competence and responsibilities; learning record; capability-gap plan.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

SU-05 | WEIGHT 15%

Standard p. 37

Responsible venture and durable value

Show proportionate safety, privacy, cybersecurity, fair-work and environmental controls at the current maturity. Demonstrate clear customer terms, support commitments and an orderly failure or exit plan. Responsible ambition requires honest limitations and ownership of resulting risks.

Evidence: Risk and release controls; user terms; incident route; support and exit plan.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

CATEGORY ASSESSOR SUMMARY / ADVERSE EVIDENCE / LIMITATIONS

LA | Lifetime Achievement Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

LA-01 | WEIGHT 30%

Standard p. 38

Enduring contribution and impact

Identify specific personal contributions with evidence of lasting benefit to engineering, safety, quality, access, environment or industry capability. Attribute team and institutional work fairly. Fame, job title and length of service alone shall not establish exceptional achievement.

Evidence: Career milestone portfolio; contribution evidence; dated outcomes; independent testimony.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

LA-02 | WEIGHT 25%

Standard p. 38

Technical or institutional leadership

Demonstrate sound judgment through difficult decisions, capability building and responsible change. Explain context, alternatives, setbacks and corrective learning. Influence may be local or global; assess the depth and durability of contribution rather than the size of the employing institution.

Evidence: Decision cases; programmes established; long-term results; corroborating records.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

LA-03 | WEIGHT 20%

Standard p. 39

Mentorship and knowledge legacy

Show development of people, open learning, succession and transfer of expertise. Corroborate mentoring outcomes with participants and evidence of independent capability. Count quality and continuity of contribution rather than speeches, honorary roles or audience size.

Evidence: Mentee accounts; skills and succession outcomes; teaching or knowledge-transfer records.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND

EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS

LA | Lifetime Achievement Award

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

LA-04 | WEIGHT 15%

Standard p. 39

Integrity and professional responsibility

Assess conduct across the claimed career, handling of adverse events and treatment of colleagues and affected communities. Investigate credible concerns fairly and offer a response. Unresolved material integrity concerns prevent recognition; unsupported allegations are not automatically findings.

Evidence: Conduct review; response and remediation records; independent career references.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND**EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS****LA-05 | WEIGHT 10%**

Standard p. 39

Lasting relevance and collaboration

Show that the legacy supports future generations, constructive cooperation and adaptation to changing mobility needs. International visibility is not required if the contribution is exceptional and transferable. Avoid credit based only on association with prominent organizations or prior awards.

Evidence: Continuing use of work; collaborative outcomes; successor testimony; future capability evidence.

EVIDENCE

Select

RATING

Select

JUROR / ROUND**EVIDENCE REFERENCES / RATIONALE / LIMITATIONS****CATEGORY ASSESSOR SUMMARY / ADVERSE EVIDENCE / LIMITATIONS**

Consolidated jury score record

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

Use one record per entry and round. Independent jurors retain their own category sheets. Preliminary/tie panel: exactly 3 jurors; final panel: exactly 5 common jurors. Use the three-person tie exception only under the Standard.

CATEGORY / ROUND / FINAL DOSSIER / APPROVED JUROR ROSTER

Record	Criterion 1	Criterion 2	Criterion 3	Criterion 4	Criterion 5
Weight %					
Evidence tier	Select	Select	Select	Select	Select
Juror 1 rating					
Juror 2 rating					
Juror 3 rating					
Juror 4 rating					
Juror 5 rating					
Median rating					
Weighted points					

UNROUNDED TOTAL S / DISPLAYED TOTAL / INDEPENDENT RECALCULATION REFERENCE

JUROR IDENTITIES MAPPED TO ROWS 1-5; BLANK UNUSED ROWS PERMITTED ONLY FOR A 3-PERSON ROUND

Gate, dispersion and tie verification

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

WEIGHTS TOTAL 100 / ALL RATINGS COMPLETE / ALL EVIDENCE CAPS RESPECTED

CRITERION SPREAD AND INDIVIDUAL-TOTAL SPREAD / MODERATION AND AUTHORIZED REVISIONS

SHORTLIST, FINALIST AND WINNER THRESHOLDS / MINIMUM MEDIANS / EVIDENCE-LEVEL GATES

CRITICAL AND OTHER APPLICABLE CONTROLS CLOSED / VERIFICATION AND INTEGRITY RELEASE

RANKING / FIRST AND SECOND TIE-PRIORITY MEAN RATINGS / UNROUNDED COMPARISONS

FRESH TIE PANEL IF NEEDED / RESCORED RESULT / NO-AWARD CONCLUSION

INDEPENDENT RECALCULATOR / NAME / DATE / SOURCE VERSION

Finding and corrective-action record

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

FINDING ID / CONTROL / ENTRY OR OPERATOR SCOPE / CRITICALITY

REQUIREMENT, OBSERVED FACT, EVIDENCE AND CONSEQUENCE

IMMEDIATE PROTECTION OR HOLD / ACCOUNTABLE OWNER / DUE DATE

CORRECTIVE ACTION AND OBJECTIVE CLOSURE EVIDENCE

INDEPENDENT VERIFICATION / CLOSURE DECISION / DATE / RESIDUAL LIMITS

Independent decision and notification record

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

DECISION COMMITTEE: THREE PRESENT, INDEPENDENT MEMBERS; AGREEMENT AND DISSENT

AUTHORIZED OUTCOME: NOT SELECTED, FINALIST, WINNER, REMAND, HOLD OR NO AWARD / REASONS

EXACT RECIPIENT, CATEGORY, SEASON, ACHIEVEMENT AND SCOPE / RECORD ID

PRIVATE NOTICE DATE / 10-BUSINESS-DAY APPEAL DEADLINE / APPEAL STATUS

PUBLICATION AUTHORIZATION / APPROVED WORDING, LICENCE AND CURRENT STATUS

AUTHORIZATION REFERENCES, SIGNATURES AND DATE

Continuation, appeal or monitoring record

SEASON / ENTRY / ASSESSOR REFERENCE

PARENT CASE / CONTROL / CRITERION / DECISION / RECORD TYPE

ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE, RESPONSE, APPEAL GROUNDS OR MONITORING OBSERVATION

INDEPENDENT DISPOSITION, REQUIRED ACTION, OWNER AND DUE DATE

PREPARED / REVIEWED / AUTHORIZED BY AND DATE